

Coevolution of Binaries and Circumbinary Gaseous Discs

David P. Fleming[★] and Thomas R. Quinn

Department of Astronomy, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98105, USA

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

The recent discoveries of circumbinary planets by *Kepler* raise questions for contemporary planet formation models. Understanding how these planets form requires characterizing their formation environment, the circumbinary protoplanetary disc, and how the disc and binary interact and change as a result. The central binary excites resonances in the surrounding protoplanetary disc that drive evolution in both the binary orbital elements and in the disc. To probe how these interactions impact binary eccentricity and disk structure evolution, N-body smooth particle hydrodynamics (SPH) simulations of gaseous protoplanetary discs surrounding binaries based on Kepler 38 were run for 10^4 binary periods for several initial binary eccentricities. We find that nearly circular binaries weakly couple to the disc via a parametric instability and excite disc eccentricity growth. Eccentric binaries strongly couple to the disc causing eccentricity growth for both the disc and binary. Discs around sufficiently eccentric binaries that strongly couple to the disc develop an $m = 1$ spiral wave launched from the 1:3 eccentric outer Lindblad resonance (EOLR) that corresponds to an alignment of gas particle longitude of periastrons. All systems display binary semimajor axis decay due to dissipation from the viscous disc.

Key words: binaries: general – hydrodynamics – protoplanetary discs

1 INTRODUCTION

Observations by *Kepler* of binary systems have so far yielded 11 transiting circumbinary planets. Until recently, all discovered circumbinary planets have resided near to or outside the dynamical stability limit characterized by [Dvorak \(1986\)](#); [Holman & Wiegert \(1999\)](#). This finding has prompted many to study how planets form in such systems and why they seem biased towards lying at the brink of dynamical instability ([Welsh et al. 2014](#); [Pierens & Nelson 2013](#)). Simulations and theoretical arguments by [Pelupessy & Portegies Zwart \(2013\)](#) and [Bromley & Kenyon \(2015\)](#) rule out in situ formation in the inner edge of the disc near where several circumbinary planets have been observed. Currently, one of the most successful models in explaining the circumbinary planet population is planetary migration. In this model, a planet, having formed farther out in the disc, migrates inward through viscous interactions with a gaseous disc, potentially undergoing planet-planet scattering, until it reaches near its observed location. Several previous studies applied migration to observed circumbinary planetary systems and have been able to show that for certain disc and viscous drag models, the observed planet orbits are nearly recovered (e.g.

[Kley & Haghighipour 2014, 2015](#); [Pierens & Nelson 2007, 2013](#)).

However, the recent discovery by [Kostov et al. \(2015\)](#) of the first long-period transiting circumbinary planet complicates this picture. The newly discovered planet candidate, KOI-2939b, is a Jupiter-sized exoplanet on a roughly 3 year orbit that suggestively lies in the conservative habitable zone of two short-period G dwarfs ([Kostov et al. 2015](#)). The existence of a such a system shows that not all circumbinary planets are driven, either through migration or other mechanisms, inward towards the dynamical stability limit. This has important consequences for not only how planets migrate and evolve in a viscous circumbinary disc, but also for how and where these planets form within the protoplanetary disc.

Clearly, characterizing the influence exerted on the planet by the protoplanetary disc is important for understanding how such systems form. Additionally, the influence of the central binary on the external disc causes the disc to evolve and potentially undergo large scale changes. Previous studies of both protoplanetary discs and accretion discs around binary supermassive black holes (SMBHs) found that circumbinary discs can become eccentric, precess, and have density waves excited from resonances ([Dunhill et al. 2015](#); [MacFadyen & Milosavljević 2008](#); [Papaloizou et al. 2001](#); [Pierens & Nelson 2007, 2013](#); [Roedig et al. 2012](#)).

[★] E-mail: dflemin3@uw.edu (DPF)

Specifically in the context of planetary systems, a hydrodynamic theory presented by [Lubow \(1991\)](#) showed that non-linear coupling mediated by density waves launched at eccentric Lindblad resonances causes disc eccentricity growth.

Much theoretical work in this area has focused on how planetesimals can grow and evolve in circumbinary discs. [Paardekooper et al. \(2012\)](#) found that for planetesimals on circumbinary orbits, in situ formation proves quite difficult, suggesting that planets form far out in the disc and subsequently migrate inward. More recently, [Bromley & Kenyon \(2015\)](#) showed that planetesimals on the most circular orbit about the central binary can attain small relative velocities, facilitating their growth. With a model that considers both the gravity and gas drag of a precessing, eccentric circumbinary disc, [Silsbee & Rafikov \(2015\)](#) found that the inner radius for 10 – 100m planetesimal growth depends on the disc eccentricity, density and precession rate. The binary’s influence on the disc is not without a cost, however, as the disc also drives changes in the binary orbital elements, which as they evolve, can change how the binary influences the disc.

Early work on how binary systems interact with accretion discs by [Goldreich & Tremaine \(1980\)](#) studied Jupiter’s interaction with the Sun’s protoplanetary disc. This study showed that a satellite’s orbital eccentricity could be increased through energy and momentum transfers with the disc at Lindblad resonances, causing significant changes over a few thousand years. The case of accretion discs around two objects was explored by [Pringle \(1991\)](#) and later by [Papaloizou et al. \(2001\)](#). [Pringle \(1991\)](#) found that a central binary’s interaction with an external accretion disc can decrease binary separation and change binary eccentricity, depending on disc structure. In the context of a Jupiter to brown dwarf mass companion orbiting a central star, [Papaloizou et al. \(2001\)](#) found that for sufficiently massive companions, a coupling between small initial disc eccentricity and the companion’s tidal potential excited an $m = 2$ wave from the 1:3 EOLR causing further disc eccentricity growth. Wave excitation at the 1:3 EOLR can also couple with the interaction between the eccentric disc and the companion to induce orbital eccentricity growth of the companion.

Many recent and past works explored the more general case of binary stars evolving under the influence of a gaseous circumbinary disc. Simulations of unequal mass binaries embedded in a protoplanetary disc by [Artymowicz et al. \(1991\)](#) show rapid binary eccentricity growth and semimajor axis decay due to interactions with the 1:3 EOLR and the viscous disc. Subsequent theoretical work by [Lubow & Artymowicz \(1996\)](#) and [Lubow & Artymowicz \(2000\)](#) derived relations to show how resonant and viscous interactions drive $\dot{e}_{bin}$ and $\dot{a}_{bin}$. Binary orbital element and disc evolution has also been explored in systems with circumbinary gaseous discs containing migrating planets in simulations by [Pierens & Nelson \(2007\)](#) who also found binary and disc eccentricity growth.

Characterizing disc-binary interactions are important on much larger scales, as well. Simulations by [Mayer et al. \(2007\)](#) show that eccentric supermassive black hole binaries can rapidly form from the merger between two spiral galaxies. An external disc forms exterior to the SMBH binary as interactions with the disc and external gas clouds can cause the black hole separation to decrease ([Roškar et al. 2015](#)). Numerous studies have been conducted to explore how binary SMBH-disc interactions cause binary SMBH eccentric-

Table 1. Kepler 38 parameters adapted from [Orosz et al. \(2012\)](#).

m_1 [$M_\odot$]	m_2 [$M_\odot$]	a_{bin} [AU]	e_{bin}
0.949	0.249	0.1469	0.1032

ity growth and semimajor axis decay, possibly explaining the “last parsec” problem allowing SMBH binaries to coalesce (e.g. [Armitage & Natarajan 2005](#); [Escala et al. 2005](#); [Cuadra et al. 2009](#); [Roedig et al. 2012](#); [Aly et al. 2015](#)). Extensive work has also been made to explore accretion onto binary SMBHs, how it varies with both the binary and disc properties and what impact accretion has on the dynamical properties of the system (e.g. [Shi et al. 2012](#); [D’Orazio et al. 2013](#); [Nixon et al. 2013](#); [Farris et al. 2014](#)).

For circumbinary systems, the binary and its disc are intertwined in non-trivial ways. The coevolution of such systems depends strongly on resonant interactions that can impart significant changes on both the binary and disc. Previous simulations focused on studying either disc evolution or binary evolution, often making approximations such as holding the binary orbital elements fixed. In this work we seek to explore how the coevolution of the disc and binary proceeds by allowing all particles to gravitationally interact. Using the Kepler 38 binary as our model system, we present the results of N-body SPH simulations of unequal mass binaries of variable initial eccentricity that probe the dynamical coupling of binary stars and a circumbinary disc. We show that the initial eccentricity of the binary dictates how strongly the disc-binary system resonantly couples. The strength of this coupling in turn dictates how eccentricity grows in either the disc, the binary or both and how structure forms within the disc.

2 SIMULATIONS

2.1 Methods

The simulations described in this paper were performed in 3D using the massively parallel N-body and Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) code, ChaNGa ([Menon et al. 2015](#)).¹ ChaNGa, implemented in Charm++ ([Kale & Krishnan 1996](#)), uses a modified version of the Barnes-Hut tree algorithm with hexadecapole order multiples and a node opening criterion of $\theta_{BH} = 0.7$ for fast and accurate calculation of gravitational forces. The Euler equations which describe the gas dynamics of the simulated circumbinary disc were solved using an SPH implementation based on [Wadsley et al. \(2004\)](#). ChaNGa uses a multisteping algorithm that gives each particle its own timestep to ensure sufficient dynamical resolution ([Quinn et al. 1997](#)). Artificial viscosity was implemented using Monaghan viscosity ([Monaghan & Gingold 1983](#)). The viscosity α_{SPH} and β_{SPH} parameters were set to 1 and 2, respectively. The Balsara switch was used to limit shear viscosity ([Balsara 1995](#)). For additional information about the implementation and performance of ChaNGa see [Jetley et al. \(2008\)](#).

¹ A public version of ChaNGa is available from <http://www-hpcc.astro.washington.edu/tools/changa.html>.

The orbits of both the binary and all the disc particles are computed using ChaNGa’s implementation of the symplectic leapfrog integrator. Each particle feels the force of gravity due to every other particle, including the two stars. Since the orbits of the stars are not integrated using a higher order technique, we employed conservative time stepping. We ran simulations shrinking time stepping parameters to ensure that the binary orbit was accurately resolved such that any evolution in the orbital parameters is due to gravitational interactions with the disc and not any numerical effects. The timestep picking criterion used was **bGravStep** where $\Delta t = \eta / \sqrt{r/a}$ where a is the acceleration of the particle, r is the distance to the particle or node that causes the largest acceleration and η is an accuracy parameter. We used this timestep criterion as its form is particularly suited for Keplerian orbits, and in the absence of collisions, it has the desirable property of giving the particle a fixed number of timesteps per orbital period ($n = 2\pi/\eta$) (Richardson et al. 2000). We found that $\eta = 0.005$ yielded sufficiently small timesteps to properly resolve the dynamics of the binary. In addition, we used a Courant number of 0.4 for enforcing the Courant condition.

All simulations were ran on the University of Washington’s Hyak supercomputer cluster. Each simulation was ran on either a 12 or 16 core node for about a month each with roughly 8,000 core hours used per simulation on average. In total, over 100,000 core hours were used for the entire suite of simulations.

2.2 Model Parameters

The model system studied in this paper is Kepler 38, a binary composed of a G and an M dwarf. The physical parameters for this system were adapted from Orosz et al. (2012) and are given in Table 1. We ran eight simulations of Kepler 38 embedded in a circumbinary disc for 10^4 binary orbits, about 520 years. The simulations considered in this paper were ran primarily to examine how the surrounding circumbinary disc impacts the dynamics of the central binary as a function of the eccentricity of the binary, e_{bin} , and how the disc in turn evolves. The disc masses are also varied in Simulations 6, 7, and 8 to explore its role in the evolution of the binary’s orbital parameters. We study the sensitivity of our results on disk resolution and aspect ratio in Simulations 9, 10, and 11 with discussion in Section 4. A summary of the relevant simulation parameters used in this study are given in Table 2.

2.3 Initial Conditions

Initial conditions for the circumbinary disc were computed using the Python package *diskpy*². Given the stellar and disc parameters, *diskpy* calculates the positions and velocities for gas particles for a protoplanetary disc in equilibrium. Each gas particle is placed on a circular orbit about the the binary’s barycenter assuming a central mass equal to the total binary mass. The gas particles’ orbits also feel the force of radial pressure gradients within the disc. Great care was taken

Table 2. Simulation parameters.

Simulation Number	e_{bin}	M_{disc} [$M_{\odot}$]	N_{gas}	H/R
1	0	0.00383	10^5	0.06
2	0.001	0.00383	10^5	0.06
3	0.05	0.00383	10^5	0.06
4	0.1032	0.00383	10^5	0.06
5	0.25	0.00383	10^5	0.06
6	0.1032	0.00766	10^5	0.06
7	0.1032	0.00192	10^5	0.06
8	0.1032	0.00574	10^5	0.06
9	0.1032	0.00383	5×10^4	0.06
10	0.1032	0.00383	2×10^5	0.06
11	0.1032	0.00383	10^5	0.12

to ensure that the disc was as close to equilibrium as possible in both the radial and vertical directions to avoid any influence from an initial disequilibrium state. For a more in-depth description of the initial conditions generated by *diskpy*, see Backus & Quinn (2016).

The disc, composed of 10^5 SPH particles unless stated otherwise, has initial inner and outer boundaries of 0.25 and 4.0 AU, respectively. The initial surface density profile of the disc is set to

$$\Sigma(r) = \Sigma_0 r^{-1/2} \quad (1)$$

where Σ_0 is assigned such that if the disc extended to 10 AU, it would have a total mass of about 0.01 $M_{\odot}$, similar to the models of Pierens & Nelson (2007) and Kley & Haghighipour (2014). To prevent numerical artefacts, the disc inner and outer edges of the surface density profiles are smoothed. For the inner edge, a smooth polynomial spline approximation to a step function is used while the outer edge of the disc is given by an exponential cutoff.

An open boundary condition is applied at the inner disc edge such that inflowing particles are allowed to be accreted by the central binary. The stars, modeled as “sink” particles, accrete a gas particle if it enters the Roche lobe of a star. This process is modeled by adding the mass of the accreted gas particles to the star’s mass and conserving linear momentum throughout the accretion process. Unless stated otherwise, the sink radius was set to 0.066 AU. A simulation was ran with a sink radius a factor of 5 smaller than the typical value and we found that our results were not affected.

The disc has a locally isothermal temperature profile following

$$T(r) = T_0 (r_0/r) \quad (2)$$

where at 1 AU from the barycenter, T_0 is 750 K. Unless otherwise specified, the circumbinary discs are initialized to be stable against axisymmetric perturbations with $Q_{min} > 1.5$ (Toomre 1964). The vertical scale height of the disk, H , was on average resolved by 2.5 resolution lengths, h , where $2h$ is the distance to the nearest 32 neighboring SPH particles.

² *diskpy* GitHub repository: <https://github.com/ibackus/diskpy>




Figure 1. Azimuthally averaged surface density profiles for Simulations 1-5 after 200 years of evolution. Overplotted are the 1:3 EOLR (dashed black line) and the 1:2 corotation resonance (dashed orange line).

3 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

3.1 Gap Clearing

As the simulation evolves, the time varying gravitational force of the binary truncates the inner edge of the circumbinary disc and excites various Lindblad resonances within the disc. The gap, cleared quickly in about 100 binary orbits, is preserved by a balance of resonant and viscous torques within the disc (Artymowicz & Lubow 1994). For larger gaps, corotation and Lindblad resonances can fall within the evacuated region removing their influence from the system. These resonances, especially those closest to the inner edge of the disc, can drive evolution in the binary’s orbital elements (Goldreich & Tremaine 1980; Artymowicz et al. 1991) and pump eccentricity in the disc (Papaloizou et al. 2001). Therefore, in order to understand the subsequent dynamical evolution of both the binary and the disc, the inner disc edge structure must be understood to see which resonances may play a role. The approximate size of the gaps found in these simulations is $r \approx 2a_{bin}$ with more eccentric binaries producing larger gaps, in good agreement with the results of Artymowicz & Lubow (1994). Our results are also consistent with the findings of D’Orazio et al. (2016) who show that for binary mass ratios above $q = 0.04$ as is the case for our simulations, a hollow central cavity forms around the secondary within the circumbinary disc. Gaps of this size tend to remove the eccentricity-damping 1:2 corotation resonance while leaving the 1:3 EOLR as the closest to the inner disc edge at a radius of $2.08a_{bin}$ suggesting that this resonance dominates the evolution of the binary’s eccentricity and semimajor axis (Artymowicz et al. 1991; Artymowicz 1992; Papaloizou et al. 2001).

To verify the absence of the 1:2 corotation resonance and prominence of the 1:3 EOLR, the surface density profiles for Simulations 1–5 are shown in Fig. 1 after 200 years




Figure 2. Disc eccentricity versus simulation time in units of binary orbits as a function of initial binary eccentricity.

of evolution. For all e_{bin} , the surface density at the 1:3 EOLR is at least an order of magnitude larger than at the 1:2 corotation resonance when it is present within the disc. Since the gap opens rather quickly, in of order 5 years for the Kepler 38 binary, this finding supports the hypothesis that the 1:3 EOLR will be the dominant resonance within the disc that will drive subsequent evolution within the system.

3.2 Disc Evolution

The structure and eccentricity of the protoplanetary disc was examined over 10^4 binary periods in over 230 snapshots for each simulation to track how it evolves with the central binary.

3.2.1 Disc Eccentricity Growth

A central binary excites eccentricity in the surrounding circumbinary disc via resonant gravitational interactions (Papaloizou et al. 2001; Artymowicz & Lubow 1996). Previous simulations of circumbinary discs (Kley et al. 2008; Papaloizou et al. 2001; Pierens & Nelson 2007, 2013) found that disc eccentricity increases due to interactions that occur at the 1:3 EOLR. To explain why the disc becomes eccentric, Papaloizou et al. (2001) showed that disc eccentricity growth occurs via a parametric instability driven by coupling between the binary’s tidal potential and a disc $m = 1$ mode due to a small initial disc eccentricity. This coupling excites an $m = 2$ spiral wave from the 1:3 EOLR that removes angular momentum from the disc at constant energy making the gas orbits eccentric. The material at the 1:3 EOLR rotates more slowly than the orbital pattern speed allowing the resonant torques to grow eccentricity in the system through the $m = 2$ wave. We therefore expect our circumbinary discs to become eccentric as well. To explore this effect in our simula-

tions, we computed the disc eccentricity via a mass average following the prescription of [Pierens & Nelson \(2007\)](#)

$$\bar{e}_d = \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} \int_{R_{in}}^{R_{out}} e \Sigma r dr d\phi}{\int_0^{2\pi} \int_{R_{in}}^{R_{out}} \Sigma r dr d\phi}, \quad (3)$$

where Σ is the local surface density and the integral was evaluated out to a radius of 3 AU over 50 radial bins. The disc eccentricity in each radial bin was taken to be the mass-weighted average of the eccentricity of all gas particles within the bin assuming the particles orbit the system's barycenter. We neglected the influence of gas pressure in this calculation.

For all simulations, disc eccentricity growth is observed and consistent with the results of the similar gaseous circumbinary disc simulations of [Kley et al. \(2008\)](#), [Pierens & Nelson \(2007, 2013\)](#) and [Farris et al. \(2014\)](#). The disc eccentricity change over time for each simulation is shown in Fig. 2. For $e_{bin} \approx 0$, significant disc eccentricity growth occurs. After about 500 years, the disc reaches eccentricities of about 0.1 while continuing to grow linearly. For larger initial e_{bin} up to $e_{bin} \approx 0.1$, less eccentricity growth occurs indicating that more eccentric binaries tend to produce less eccentric discs.

For non-zero e_{bin} , the disc eccentricity grows linearly with a periodic modulation. The period of this disc eccentricity oscillation is similar to the inner disc edge clump precession timescale discussed in Section 3.2.2 below suggesting that the clump impacts the disc's eccentricity modulation, but only when the binary is sufficiently eccentric. This finding is consistent with the work of [Lubow & Artymowicz \(2000\)](#) who explain that the inner disc edge precesses with a period of $10^2 - 10^3$ binary periods when $e_{disc}/e_{bin} \sim 0.2 - 0.7$.

We find that the global disc eccentricity growth is predominantly due to the inner edge of the disc becoming eccentric. Fig. 3 shows the disc eccentricity versus radius for Simulations 1-5 after about 520 years of evolution. For binaries with $e_{bin} \approx 0$, disc inner edge eccentricities are of order 0.4 while more eccentric binaries tend to produce less eccentric inner edges.

To understand the eccentricity growth of our circumbinary discs, specifically why less eccentric binaries tend to produce more eccentric discs, we turn to the theory of [Papaloizou et al. \(2001\)](#). [Papaloizou et al. \(2001\)](#) explains that a nonlinear coupling between the binary and a small initial disc eccentricity excites an $m = 2$ wave from the 1:3 EOLR within the disc with a resonant forcing pattern speed $\omega/2$ for binary orbital frequency ω . This wave transports angular momentum outwards, driving eccentricity growth in the system. We confirmed the presence of the $m = 2$ spiral wave originating from the 1:3 EOLR in our simulations via a Fourier transform over azimuthal angle of the disc surface density. This wave removes angular momentum from the disc, accounting for the increase in disc eccentricity.

Disc eccentricity growth as a function of e_{bin} depends on how strongly the binary couples to the disc. When strong coupling occurs, both the binary and inner disc edge grow to similar eccentricities while weak coupling results in the less massive of the binary or the disc inner edge developing appreciable eccentricity. For initially circular binaries, [Papaloizou et al. \(2001\)](#) described strong coupling as occurring when the disc mass within the gap radius is comparable to




Figure 3. Disc eccentricity versus radius after about 520 years of evolution.

the mass of the secondary. For our simulations, the mass of the secondary is roughly an order of magnitude larger than the mass of the entire disc, so we expect the binary to be weakly coupled to the disc resulting in significant disc eccentricity growth as is observed in our simulations (see Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). For initially eccentric binaries, the [Papaloizou et al. \(2001\)](#) strong coupling criterion does not apply. Instead, we note that the time-averaged orbit of an eccentric binary corresponds to an azimuthal $m = 1$ mode perturbation to a circular orbit. This $m = 1$ mode couples to the $m = 1$ mode of the eccentric inner disc edge, placing the system in the strong coupling regime causing the disc eccentricity and e_{bin} to grow to similar magnitudes, as is observed in our simulations (see Fig. 2 and Fig. 4). We discuss these coupling mechanisms and their implications in more detail in Sections 3.2.2 and 3.3.

The $e_{bin} = 0.25$ and $e_{bin} = 0.05$ simulations show larger disc eccentricity than the $e_{bin} = 0.1032$ case in contrast to expected behavior. For $e_{bin} = 0.05$, this can be understood as intermediate coupling. The initial binary eccentricity is not low enough to conform exactly to the [Papaloizou et al. \(2001\)](#) criterion and is not large enough to couple strongly to the disc inner edge resulting in an intermediate coupling with larger disc eccentricity growth than the $e_{bin} = 0.1032$ case and also appreciable e_{bin} growth that is still less than the $e_{bin} = 0.1032$ case (see Section 3.3). For the $e_{bin} = 0.25$ case, the binary eccentricity may be large enough that higher order resonances in the disc begin to impact the evolution ([Artymowicz 1992](#)), potentially accounting for the system's departure from expected behavior.

3.2.2 Disc Structure

The gravitational influence of the binary forces several major changes within the structure of the circumbinary disc. To explore how the structure of the disc changes with time and e_{bin} , we examined the orbits of gas particles and how




Figure 4. Change in binary eccentricity versus simulation time in units of binary orbits for several initial binary eccentricities. For an initially circular and nearly circular binary, effectively no eccentricity growth occurs whereas for higher initial eccentricities, significant growth occurs.

they vary with distance from the binary. To accomplish this, two-dimensional histograms of all gas particles within a radial distance of 3 AU from the barycenter were made for each snapshot. We computed the histograms over semimajor axis, a , and the longitude of periastron, ϖ , defined in this work as the sum of the argument of periastron, ω , and the longitude of the ascending node, Ω , relative to that of the binary, ϖ_{bin} , for each gas particle. Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 shows these histograms for all particles in the disc out to 3 AU for several of our simulations.

In all simulations, a precessing overdense knot was found just outside inner edge of the disc. The knot corresponds to a coherent precession of eccentric gas particle orbits at the inner disc edge. The knot depicted in Fig. 5 precesses relative to the binary in the prograde sense with a period of about 20 years, or 400 binary periods, for $e_{bin} \approx 0$. Fig. 5 shows the $a - \varpi$ histogram for two simulations with initial e_{bin} of 0 and 0.1032, respectively after 200 years of evolution. Precession of orbits near the binary at the inner disc edge are expected due to the binary’s time-varying potential as shown in the simulations and analytic theory of Lubow & Artymowicz (2000). The existence of this knot is consistent with the identification of a similar overdense lump located at the inner edge of gaseous circumbinary accretion discs about binary black holes from 2D simulations by Farris et al. (2014).

An additional structure identified in the disc is a single arm ($m = 1$) spiral wave launched from near the inner edge of the disc as shown in Fig. 5. The spiral wave is an alignment of gas particle longitude of periastrons relative to the binary’s. For the initial $e_{bin} = 0.1032$ simulation, the wave develops rapidly within the first 50 years, or about 10^3 binary periods. Recent N-body simulations of circumbinary planetesimal discs by Lines et al. (2016) confirmed the presence of an $m = 1$ wave discs about eccentric binaries. When

under the influence of an asymmetric gaseous disc potential, Lines et al. (2016) identified the wave as a preferential alignment of planetesimal longitude of periastrons as a function of orbital radius around the eccentric binary of the Kepler 16 system, similar to the spiral wave found in this work.

In our simulations, the spiral wave’s orientation remains locked to the binary’s slow prograde ϖ_{bin} precession throughout the entire simulation, although a slight drift of a degree or so does occur. The wave’s fixed orientation relative to the binary is an important effect whose consequences will be examined more carefully in Section 3.3. Simply put, if the wave did circulate relative to the binary, its long term effect on the system, if any, would average out to zero, so the fixed orientation could indeed dynamically impact the system.

Note that in the simulation with an initial $e_{bin} = 0$ (left panel), no spiral $m = 1$ arm exists in contrast to the $e_{bin} = 0.1032$ simulation (right panel) which shows a prominent spiral arm. The arm is also observed in the simulation with initial $e_{bin} = 0.25$ but not with initial $e_{bin} = 0.001$. Since the spiral arm is only observed when the binary has an appreciable eccentricity, we can infer that a coupling between binary eccentricity and the inner disc edge impacts its formation.

To investigate the role of binary eccentricity in exciting the wave, Simulation 3 was ran with initial $e_{bin} = 0.05$ to see if the spiral wave could be excited with an intermediate e_{bin} between the two regimes identified above. In the intermediate regime as explained in Section 3.2.1, e_{bin} is not large enough to be strongly coupled to the disc while also not low enough to weakly couple to the disc to drive disc eccentricity. From the onset of the initial $e_{bin} = 0.05$ simulation, a faint $m = 1$ spiral wave appeared and gradually strengthened as shown in Fig. 6. The wave, initially weak, became more apparent after about 900 years. The $m = 1$ spiral arm in this simulation does not become as pronounced as the one seen in the initial $e_{bin} = 0.1032$ simulation, suggesting that the strength of the arm depends on e_{bin} and supporting the notion that this disc-binary system undergoes an intermediate coupling.

We again apply the theory of Papaloizou et al. (2001) to understand the origin and behavior of the spiral wave. We know from Section 3.2.1 that a nonlinear coupling between non-zero disc eccentricity and the binary’s tidal potential excites an $m = 2$ spiral density wave from the 1:3 EOLR that mediates angular momentum transfer in the system. Also, we have shown that the strength of the coupling between the binary and disc, which depends on e_{bin} , determines the magnitude of the disc inner edge eccentricity. Additional structural changes within the disc proceed via a higher order coupling.

In Papaloizou et al. (2001), the authors show that the $m = 2$ density wave emitted at the 1:3 EOLR can couple back through the binary tidal potential. This additional coupling produces a time independent $m = 1$ wave and an associated potential. The extra potential from the $m = 1$ wave can allow for the removal of angular momentum from the system via resonant torques. The $m = 1$ wave produced via the recoupling mechanism is precisely the $m = 1$ spiral wave identified in this work. The presence of the $m = 1$ wave was reconfirmed via a Fourier decomposition of the disc surface density. Since we only observe the $m = 1$ spiral wave in discs around eccen-




Figure 5. Two dimensional histogram of disc gas particles binned by semimajor axis and longitude of periastron, ϖ , (the sum of the longitude of ascending node, Ω , and argument of periastron, ω) relative to ϖ of the binary. Each bin is colored by the log of the number of gas particles it contains. We note that figure displays the disc in orbital element space and not in configuration space. The left and right panels display the histograms for two simulations with binaries having initial eccentricities of 0 and 0.1032, respectively, both after 200 years of evolution. The right panel clearly displays a $m = 1$ spiral wave launched from near the 1:3 EOLR within the disc.

tric binaries, we infer that this recoupling mechanism only occurs when the disc and binary are strongly coupled. Lines et al. (2016)’s observation of a $m = 1$ spiral wave present in their simulation of a planetesimal disc surrounding the eccentric Kepler 16 binary support this argument.

Since the orientation of the $m = 1$ wave in our simulations remains locked to that of the binary’s, it does not circulate and hence is independent of time. Also, as we will explore in Section 3.3, the additional potential from the $m = 1$ resonantly torques on the binary causing evolution in its orbital eccentricity.

Next we examine why the orientation of the spiral wave remains fixed relative to the binary. We apply the analytic theory for circumbinary orbits of Leung & Lee (2013) to partially explain this effect. The theory, accurate to first order in e_{bin} , decomposes the orbit of a test particle about two stars into a superposition of the circular motion of a guiding center and the radial and vertical epicyclic motion due to the non-axisymmetric components of the binary’s potential. Leung & Lee (2013) give the equations for the precession rate of the argument of periastron, $\dot{\omega}$, and the longitude of the ascending node, $\dot{\Omega}$, respectively, to be

$$\dot{\omega} \approx \frac{3}{4} \frac{m_a m_b}{(m_a + m_b)^2} \left(\frac{a_{bin}}{r} \right)^2 \quad (4)$$

$$\dot{\Omega} \approx -\frac{3}{4} \frac{m_a m_b}{(m_a + m_b)^2} \left(\frac{a_{bin}}{r} \right)^2 \quad (5)$$

where m_a and m_b are the masses of the primary and secondary stars, respectively, a_{bin} is the binary semimajor axis and r is the radial distance from the barycenter.

Since these rates are approximately equal and opposite, one expects a gas particle’s longitude of periastron, as

defined earlier, to remain fixed, as is observed for the spiral waves in our simulations about sufficiently eccentric binaries. In the context of gaseous circumbinary discs, this interpretation has a few potential shortcomings. First, a given gas particle does not live in isolation since it feels the effects of disc self gravity and pressure gradients within the disc that can impact its orbit. Also since this theory is only linear in e_{bin} , its applicability could lessen as the binary becomes more eccentric due to interactions with the disc. However, the binaries considered have low to moderate eccentricities and the discs are rather low-mass such that disc self gravity is negligible so the gravitational influence of the binary should dominate. Therefore, we expect this theory to still provide a decent approximate explanation for why the spiral arm remains fixed relative to the binary.

3.3 Binary Evolution

Secular theory (Goldreich & Tremaine 1979, 1980; Pringle 1991; Papaloizou et al. 2001) and previous simulations (Artymowicz et al. 1991; Cuadra et al. 2009; Roedig et al. 2012; Dermine et al. 2013) show that angular momentum losses to a disc change the central binary’s orbital elements. Angular momentum loss occurs mainly through resonant gravitational torques at the Lindblad and corotation resonances. In the case of binary stars embedded in an external disc, loss of angular momentum can result in changes to the binary eccentricity and semimajor axis. For unequal mass binary stars with low to moderate eccentricity, the majority of eccentricity growth is due to resonant torques at the 1:3 EOLR. This resonance dominates since these systems tend to open a gap in the disc such that the 1:3 EOLR resides nearest to the inner edge of the disc while the eccentricity




Figure 6. Two dimensional histogram of disc gas particles binned by semimajor axis and longitude of periastron, ϖ of the form of that shown in Fig. 5 for a binary with $e_i = 0.05$. We stress that the plot is shown in orbital element space and not configuration space. As the binary eccentricity increases through interactions with the surrounding disc, a single weak spiral arm begins to form. Once $e \approx 0.08$, the spiral arm becomes slightly more apparent, resembling a fainter version of the arm shown in Figure 5 for the $e_i = 0.1032$ case.

damping 1:2 corotation resonance lies within the evacuated region (Artymowicz et al. 1991; Artymowicz 1992). Simulations of binaries embedded in circumbinary discs by Roedig et al. (2012) agree with this interpretation as they identified gravitational torque density peaks responsible for binary eccentricity evolution located at the 1:3 EOLR in the disc. For the simulations presented in this work, we expect a secular increase in binary eccentricity and decrease in semimajor axis as the binary loses angular momentum to circumbinary disc.

As shown in Fig. 4, binary eccentricity for initially eccentric binaries grows over the duration of the simulation. The eccentricity growth rate, $\dot{e}_{bin}$, seems to scale with initial e_{bin} . In the simulation with initial $e_{bin} = 0.25$, however,

e_{bin} increases more slowly than the initial $e_{bin} = 0.1032$ case. When the initial $e_{bin} \approx 0$, no binary eccentricity growth occurs in contrast to the results of similar simulations of binaries embedded in an external disc by Papaloizou et al. (2001); Pierens & Nelson (2007); Cuadra et al. (2009) who find significant eccentricity growth with an initial $e_{bin} \approx 0$, a discrepancy we will address later. In all cases, e_{bin} oscillates as the simulations progress. This oscillation is due to forcing by the $m = 1$ potential of the eccentric external disc (Lubow & Artymowicz 2000).

For all simulations, the binary semimajor axis secularly decreases where the rate of decline is lower for increasingly eccentric binaries as shown in Fig. 7. As before, the initial $e_{bin} = 0.25$ case defies this trend as it shows a greater semima-




Figure 7. Change in binary semimajor axis versus simulation time in units of binary periods for several initial binary eccentricities. For all cases, the binary’s semimajor axis secularly decreases.

for axis decline than the initial $e_{bin} = 0.1032$ case instead of the expected lesser decline. Additionally, the binary’s longitude of periastron slowly precesses in the prograde sense less than 1°yr^{-1} over the duration of the simulation similar to the results of comparable simulations by Kley & Haghighipour (2015).

3.3.1 Modeling Binary Evolution

Any changes in the binary orbital elements will depend on the details of the binary’s interactions with the disc. As discussed in Section 3.2.1, we applied the secular theory of Papaloizou et al. (2001) to show that the strength of the disc-binary coupling dictates how eccentricity grows within the system. We apply the same arguments used above to understand disc eccentricity growth to binary eccentricity evolution. For circular binaries, we argued that the binary and disc are weakly coupled since the mass of the secondary is much greater than the mass of the entire circumbinary disc. In this weak coupling regime, the eccentricity of the less massive of the binary-disc system grows, which in this case is the disc. As expected, the disc develops appreciable eccentricity. From these arguments, we expect the binary to develop very little, if any, eccentricity. This behavior is exactly what we observe in our simulations (see Fig. 4).

For simulations of discs around eccentric binaries, we argued that the disc and binary are strongly coupled through the $m = 1$ modes of the eccentric binary orbit and inner disc edge orbits. In this regime, both the binary and disc eccentricities grow together and further coupling between the disc and binary can occur. Additional disc-binary coupling discussed at length in Section 3.2.2 lead to the excitation of a time-independent $m = 1$ spiral wave and associated potential from the 1:3 EOLR whose orientation remains locked to that of the binary’s orbit (see Fig. 5). Since this wave remains fixed relative to the binary, it resonantly torques the binary




Figure 8. Evolution of e_{bin} as a function of a_{bin} from simulations with initial e_{bin} of 0.05, 0.1032, and 0.25 over-plotted with the analytic fit of equation 9 with $\alpha_{eff} = 0.006$. As the system evolves, time advances towards the left in this depiction.

through the 1:3 EOLR, removing angular momentum from the binary’s orbit, increasing e_{bin} . If the wave instead circulated over time, its potential would time-average to zero and have no effect on the binary orbital elements. The presence of the $m = 1$ spiral wave leads to the qualitatively different binary eccentricity evolution for the $e_{bin} = 0.1032$ case relative to the $e_{bin} \approx 0$ case displayed in Fig. 4. In all simulations, the binary semimajor axis decreases due to energy dissipation from the viscous disc.

Similar N-body SPH simulations of binaries embedded in a circumbinary disc by Artymowicz et al. (1991) showed that for binaries with mass ratio $\mu = m_2/m_1 = 0.3$ and $e_{bin} \approx 0.1$ similar to the systems examined in this paper, resonant interactions with the surrounding disc at the 1:3 EOLR drive eccentricity growth and semimajor axis decay. In this regime, we expect the binary eccentricity growth observed by Artymowicz et al. (1991) since the binary and disc are strongly coupled.

With the origin of binary eccentricity evolution understood using the theory of Papaloizou et al. (2001), we seek to quantify binary orbital evolution. Following the analysis of Dermine et al. (2013), we applied the theory of Lubow & Artymowicz (1996, 2000) to quantify the eccentricity and semimajor axis evolution of a binary with initial $e_{bin} \approx 0.1$ embedded in an external gaseous disc due to resonant interactions with the 1:3 EOLR using the following relation

$$\dot{e} = \frac{1 - e^2}{e} \left(\frac{l}{m} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e^2}} \right) \frac{\dot{a}}{a}. \quad (6)$$

where $(l, m) = (1, 2)$ is the potential component corresponding to the 1:3 EOLR (Artymowicz et al. 1991; Lubow & Artymowicz 2000) and e and a are the binary eccentricity and semimajor axis, respectively.

For less eccentric binaries, the semimajor axis and ec-

centricity evolution is well-described by

$$\dot{e} = -\frac{50e}{\alpha_{eff}} \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \quad (7)$$

where α_{eff} is the effective standard viscosity parameter (Lubow & Artymowicz 1996; Dermine et al. 2013).

Note that α_{eff} in equation 7 is not in general the same as the α_{SPH} viscosity parameter discussed in Section 2.1. To relate α_{eff} and α_{SPH} , we use the following relation from Lodato & Price (2010) and Meru & Bate (2012)

$$\alpha_{eff} = \frac{k_{BSW}}{20} \alpha_{SPH} \frac{h}{H} \quad (8)$$

where h is the smoothing length, H is the disc aspect ratio and the factor of $1/20$ comes from the Meru & Bate (2012) derivation of the coefficient for the Monaghan & Gingold (1983) viscosity implementation used in ChaNGa (Murray 1996). The k_{BSW} factor arises from our use of the Balsara switch which limits shear viscosity by scaling both α_{SPH} and β_{SPH} (Balsara 1995). The range of k_{BSW} is $[0,1]$. Since both h and k_{BSW} can vary between gas particles and H can vary radially as the disc evolves, we average over the disc to get $k_{BSW} = 0.3$ and $h/H = 0.4$. Given these values, we set $\alpha_{eff} = 0.006$ as the approximate value for our simulations with $N_{gas} = 10^5$.

Equations 6 and 7, derived by Lubow & Artymowicz (1996) via examining the balance between viscous and resonant interactions at the inner disc edge, apply in separate regimes that depend sensitively on e_{bin} . Lubow & Artymowicz (1996) estimate that once $e_{bin} \gtrsim 0.1\alpha_{eff}^{1/2}$, the 1:3 EOLR dominates binary eccentricity growth while below this threshold for circular binaries, no eccentricity growth occurs. These separate regimes correspond to the weak and strong disc-binary coupling for circular and eccentric binaries, respectively, discussed in previous sections. For nearly circular binaries, $e_{bin} < 0.1\alpha_{eff}^{1/2}$ and $\dot{e}_{bin} \approx 0$ as expected for weak disc-binary coupling. Conversely for eccentric binaries, $e_{bin} \gtrsim 0.1\alpha_{eff}^{1/2}$ and $\dot{e}_{bin} > 0$ as demonstrated above for strong disc-binary coupling. We combine equations 7 and 6 to model how e_{bin} and a_{bin} should evolve under the influence of an external disc following the models of Lubow & Artymowicz (1996, 2000) and Dermine et al. (2013)

$$de/da = \begin{cases} -\frac{50e}{\alpha_{eff}} \frac{1}{a} & \text{if } e_{bin} \lesssim 0.1\alpha_{eff}^{1/2} \\ \frac{1-e^2}{ea} \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-e^2}} \right) & \text{if } e_{bin} \gtrsim 0.1\alpha_{eff}^{1/2}. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Hence, eccentricity increases while the semimajor axis decreases.

To verify that equation 9 is a proper model for our simulations, the observed evolution of e_{bin} and a_{bin} for simulations with initial $e_{bin} = 0.05, 0.1032$, and 0.25 were compared with the theoretical result of equation 9 assuming $\alpha_{eff} = 0.006$. The comparison is shown in Fig. 8.

The results of both the simulations with initial $e_{bin} = 0.1032$ and $e_{bin} = 0.25$ are in good agreement with the theoretical expectations of equation 6 and also in accordance with the simulation of a similar system with initial $e_{bin} = 0.1$ by Artymowicz et al. (1991). Equation 9 can also be applied to the initial $e_{bin} \approx 0$ cases. For these simulations, the binary semimajor axis decreases via energy dissipation through the viscous disc while no eccentricity growth occurs as seen in Fig. 4 and Fig. 7. Our results are consistent with the prediction of equation 9. These findings indicate that equation 9

successfully quantifies how the binary evolves in the different disc-binary coupling regimes.

The initial $e_{bin} = 0.05$ case proves troublesome. Equation 9 does a poor job fitting the binary eccentricity and semimajor axis evolution. The poor fit can be understood in the context of how the disc and binary undergo an intermediate coupling in between the strong and weak regimes. As discussed in Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.2, the binary eccentricity is not large enough to launch a prominent $m = 1$ spiral wave and drive the eccentricity growth for more eccentric binaries. In between regimes, we expect the binary eccentricity to grow weakly as we observe in our simulations. Equation 9 succeeds for systems firmly in the weakly or strongly coupled regime but does not perform well for intermediate coupling.

This behavior has interesting consequences for the subsequent evolution of a system. For the intermediate case as the binary eccentricity grows with time, it will eventually reach $e_{bin} \approx 0.1$ and will then begin to strongly couple to the gaseous disc. As discussed previously, strong coupling launches a $m = 1$ spiral wave in the disc and increases the growth rate of binary eccentricity. Also in the strongly coupled regime, we expect the disc eccentricity to decrease from higher values and be similar in magnitude to the binary eccentricity.

In a similar vein for nearly circular binaries, we expect them to remain circular. Other simulations of binaries embedded in circumbinary discs such as those by Pierens & Nelson (2007) and Cuadra et al. (2009) have found that initially circular binaries eventually develop appreciable non-zero eccentricities. This behavior can be understood by examining equation 9. If the binary is perturbed and some non-zero eccentricity develops, we would expect the binary eccentricity to grow very slowly, gradually strengthening the coupling between the disc and binary until intermediate coupling is reached and the system progresses as described above. This picture is consistent with the results of Pierens & Nelson (2007) who found the binary eccentricity began to grow on timescales longer than those explored in this work. Therefore over timescales much longer than simulated here, we would expect our initial $e_{bin} = 0.01$ case to become appreciably eccentric. We extrapolate the results of our simulations to longer timescales and consider the consequences below.

We ran additional simulations to ensure that the 1:3 EOLR did indeed dominate binary evolution and no other effect played a major role. To do this, a shorter simulation with an initial binary eccentricity of 0.1032 was performed with the initial disc gap radius located outside of the 1:3 EOLR. Minimal binary eccentricity growth and effectively no binary semimajor axis decay occurred until the disc viscously spread inward. Additionally, the $m = 1$ spiral wave observed in other simulations of sufficiently eccentric binaries also did not exist until mass was able to drift inward and accumulate at the 1:3 EOLR at which point the e_{bin} and a_{bin} began to evolve. These findings support the supposition that interactions with the 1:3 EOLR drives the binary evolution as anticipated.

To study what effect, if any, accretion has on the how the binary stars' orbital elements vary, a procedure similar to that used by Roedig et al. (2012) was performed. For a given simulation each accretion event was tracked such that the accreted gas particle's mass and velocity components were outputted. Using these events, e_{bin} and a_{bin} were evolved

by adding each accreted particle to the binary imposing linear momentum and mass conservation as is done natively in ChaNGa for sink particles. This test demonstrated that accretion had a negligible effect on e_{bin} and a_{bin} . Therefore, it is safe to assume that the evolution of the binary orbital elements is primarily driven by interactions with the external disc, in particular at the 1:3 EOLR for the systems considered in this work.

4 EFFECTS OF VARYING DISC PROPERTIES

Previous studies have examined how varying disc properties can change how a circumbinary disc evolves. For example, [Lines et al. \(2015\)](#) showed that disc eccentricity is sensitive to the initial disc surface density gradient and aspect ratio. Here, we analyse the results of simulations that vary disc mass, gas resolution, and aspect ratio in order to examine how disc properties impact the disc - binary coevolution.

4.1 Varying Disc Mass

To study how our results vary with disc mass, three additional simulations were run with $e_{bin} = 0.1032$ to see if varying disc mass changes binary evolution. Simulation 6 with $2 \times M_{disc}$, Simulation 7 with $0.5 \times M_{disc}$, and Simulation 8 with $1.5 \times M_{disc}$ were run. For these additional simulations, we expect the disc to be strongly coupled to the eccentric binary since the coupling only depends on the magnitude of binary eccentricity. We do expect, however, that eccentricity growth to occur more quickly for systems with more massive discs since torque scales with the disc mass. The results of the simulations are shown in Fig. 9.

The disc eccentricity of Simulation 7 was similar to that of Simulation 4 while Simulation 6 showed larger disc eccentricity values. As expected, more massive discs became more eccentric. Not depicted is the spiral arm development. Similar to the standard initial $e_{bin} = 0.1032$ case, a prominent $m = 1$ spiral wave quickly forms in Simulations 6, 7, and 8 consistent with the picture that binary eccentricity determines how strongly the disc and binary couple. In all three simulations, the wave has the same shape and remains fixed relative to the binary except for Simulation 6 which showed slight prograde precession of the spiral arm.

For more massive discs, binary eccentricity grew more quickly and the binary underwent more semimajor axis decay. Although not plotted, both Simulations 6, 7 and 8 are still well-described by equation 9 and hence correspond to either faster or slower binary evolution timescales.

4.2 Varying Disc Resolution

To ensure that our simulations were sufficiently resolved, we ran two additional simulations, Simulations 9 and 10, which has decreased and increased the initial number of gas particles by a factor of 2 to 5×10^4 and 2×10^5 , respectively. The results of these simulations are shown in Fig. 9.

In both simulations, we find the general trend of eccentricity growth and semimajor axis decay holds. The lower resolution Simulation 9 eccentricity growth is less than the fiducial Simulation 4. In addition, the disc eccentricity does not oscillate as seen in other simulations. This suggests that

the clump which dominates the disc eccentricity does not form into a coherent structure, indicating that $N_{gas} = 5 \times 10^4$ might not be large enough to properly resolve all the physics at the disc inner edge. We find that the $m = 1$ spiral wave appears in the disc indicating that the binary strongly couples to the disc as we expect from our previous simulations.

The higher resolution Simulation 10 exhibits both binary and disc eccentricity evolution that is in good agreement with the fiducial Simulation 4. Additionally, we again observed a prominent $m = 1$ spiral wave within the disc that behaved identically to its Simulation 4 counterpart. One disagreement between Simulation 10 and Simulation 4 is that the higher resolution simulation displayed less binary semimajor axis decay. This result is expected, however, since higher resolution N-body SPH simulations will have smaller gas softening lengths, h . As shown in equation 8, the effective standard viscosity parameter $\alpha_{eff} \propto h$. The theoretical work of [Lubow & Artymowicz \(1996, 2000\)](#) estimate that $\dot{a}/a \propto -\alpha_{eff}$. We therefore expect a higher resolution run with smaller h and hence smaller α_{eff} to exhibit less binary semimajor axis decay due to dissipation from the viscous disc.

Since the main effects explored in this work, the binary eccentricity evolution and the accompanying development of disc eccentricity and structure, are in good agreement between the standard and higher resolution runs, we find that our nominal resolution of $N_{gas} = 10^5$ is sufficient.

4.3 Varying Disc Aspect Ratio

Simulations of accretion discs around binary black holes have examined the effects of larger aspect ratio discs, mainly focusing on accretion rates. Two dimensional SPH simulations of gas accretion onto binaries embedded in a circumbinary disc by [Young & Clarke \(2015\)](#) found that increasing gas temperature leads to increased accretion rates onto the primary star and growth in the binary mass ratio. Simulations of discs about black hole binaries by [Ragusa et al. \(2016\)](#) showed that discs with aspect ratios $H/R \gtrsim 0.1$ have enhanced accretion rates as the inspiralling gas is not suppressed by the binary's gravitational torque. To examine disc aspect ratio's effect on disc-binary evolution in our simulations, we ran Simulation 11 with a disc aspect ratio of $H/R = 0.12$. To initialize the disc with a larger aspect ratio, we increased the disc temperature by setting T_0 in equation 2 to 2500 K giving us about a factor of 2 larger aspect ratio relative to the fiducial Simulation 4.

The result of Simulation 11 is presented in Fig. 9. We found that the thicker disc resulted in greater binary semimajor axis decay and eccentricity growth compared to the fiducial Simulation 4. The increased binary semimajor axis decay agrees with the theoretical expectation of [Lubow & Artymowicz \(1996, 2000\)](#) who estimate that $\dot{a}/a \propto -(H/R)^2$. The enhanced binary eccentricity growth follows as a consequence of equation 6.

In Simulation 11, the binary accretion rate was enhanced by about a factor of 4 relative to the fiducial Simulation 4 in agreement with the general findings of both [Young & Clarke \(2015\)](#) and [Ragusa et al. \(2016\)](#). We found that accretion had little impact on the binary orbital element evolution. As expected from arguments presented in Section 3.2.2, the disc and binary were strongly coupled, producing a

prominent $m = 1$ spiral wave similar to the one seen in Fig. 5. The disc also displayed larger eccentricity initially but it did not grow appreciably over the course of the simulation.

5 DISCUSSION

The coevolution of a binary with a gaseous circumbinary disc, primarily driven by resonant interactions at the 1:3 EOLR, has several important consequences for the subsequent dynamical evolution of the system. As shown above, an eccentric binary system tends to gain eccentricity and experience a secular decay in semimajor axis due to viscous and resonant interactions with the disc. This evolution not only changes due to additional feedback with the disc, but also impacts regions in the disc where planets form and migrate.

5.1 Implications for Long-term Binary Evolution

N-body simulations of an unequal mass binary embedded in a protoplanetary disc by Artymowicz et al. (1991) found rapid semimajor axis decay leading the authors to suggest that the binary separation may become small enough that tidal effects or even stellar coalescence may occur for such systems. Tides between stellar companions tend to circularize the orbit over long timescales once the stellar separation becomes sufficiently small. Detailed studies of companions to Sun-like stars by Raghavan et al. (2010) and measurements of solar-type spectroscopic binaries in M35 by Meibom & Mathieu (2005) both found that binaries from these populations with periods less than about 10 days tend to be circularized. Theoretical work on the pre-main-sequence evolution of $0.5 - 1.25 M_{\odot}$ binaries by Zahn & Bouchet (1989) demonstrate that binaries with orbital periods of about 8 days or less are tidally circularized with effectively all of the circularization occurring before the stars reach the main-sequence. For binaries with an initial period slightly greater than the ~ 10 day tidal circularization boundary embedded in a circumbinary disc, binary-disc interactions could potentially decrease a_{bin} enough to make tidal effects important for subsequent evolution given that the lifetimes of protoplanetary discs are of order 1 Myr (Haisch et al. 2001).

In addition, we would expect some longer period binaries to develop appreciable eccentricity through this mechanism. Observations of spectroscopic binaries discussed by Mazeh (2008) show that a large number of such binaries have large eccentricities, some up to $e_{bin} \approx 1$, suggesting that disc-binary interactions may in fact be an important mechanism in pumping binary eccentricity. Ideally, additional observations of binaries with circumbinary planets, systems guaranteed to have had protoplanetary discs, will allow us to better constrain and model this effect.

The extent to which disc-binary interactions impact astrophysical systems over the disc's lifetime is difficult to measure. Over the course of the disc's lifetime, what may occur is some process that removes the 1:3 EOLR from the disc. As a_{bin} decays through disc-binary interactions, the location of the 1:3 EOLR shifts inwards. Also as e_{bin} grows, the central gap size increases (Artymowicz & Lubow 1994). The combined a_{bin} and e_{bin} evolution could result in the 1:3

EOLR moving into the evacuated disc gap, removing its influence from the system, leaving higher order resonances to influence the binary. For binaries with large e_{bin} , Artymowicz et al. (1991) speculates that the combination of higher order inner and outer Lindblad resonances and corotation resonances should combine to reduce the magnitude of $\dot{e}_{bin}$ and $\dot{a}_{bin}$, potentially preventing subsequent evolution. This picture is not so simple, however, as simulations of binary SMBHs embedded in gaseous discs by Cuadra et al. (2009) and Roedig et al. (2011) both find that binary eccentricity growth continues to $e_{bin} > 0.35$ where this growth did not slow until $e_{bin} \approx 0.6 - 0.8$. We note that the simulations of Roedig et al. (2011) assumed a fixed a_{bin} which neglects the inward motion of the resonances as a_{bin} decays, potentially leaving them in the evacuated region, removing their effects from the system. The impact of higher order resonances on binary evolution is a complicated matter that requires proper treatment in which both the binary and disc are allowed to coevolve together and likely depends on disc structure and artificial viscosity implementation. Additionally, findings by Pringle (1991) show that in principle, there is no limit to the amount of angular momentum that can be lost by a central binary to an external disc suggesting that binary coalescence is not as unrealistic as it sounds. We caution that when performing simulations of binaries embedded in a gaseous disc that explore the role of semimajor axis decay, one should ensure that their observed semimajor axis decay has converged as both resolution and non-trivial effects such as accretion (e.g. Roedig et al. 2012) can have a substantial impact.

One effect not explored in this work is the possibility of Kozai-Lidov (KL) oscillations for the general case of a misaligned discs in binary systems. For an inclined test particle orbiting one component of a binary, periodic KL oscillations allow for the particle's eccentricity to grow at the expense of its inclination Kozai (1962); Lidov (1962). For the case of an inclined circumbinary disc about one component of the binary, Martin et al. (2014) found that the disc can exhibit KL cycles with the periodic disc eccentricity maxima approaching ~ 0.6 . A later study of similar systems by Lubow et al. (2015) demonstrated that misaligned discs can become much more extended than coplanar discs and potentially could overflow the Roche lobe of the star. Simulations of misaligned circumbinary discs by Nixon et al. (2013) showed that discs of almost all inclinations can tear leading to massive accretion and potentially a merger of the central binary.

Given these results in the general case of systems with misaligned circumbinary discs, the binary eccentricity evolution is likely significantly impacted by the disc evolution and depart from the results presented here for thin, coplanar discs. The disc, if misaligned, could reach large eccentricities due to KL oscillations and via interactions with the binary if it does not tear. If the disc does in fact tear, the binary would likely not couple with the disc at all but could in fact coalesce as demonstrated by Nixon et al. (2013). The general case of a binary coupling with an inclined circumbinary disc is greatly complicated by KL oscillations, torque scaling with inclination and the potential for tearing and warrants a more robust future study.




Figure 9. The results of simulations about a binary with initial $e_{bin} = 0.1032$ and $a_{bin} = 0.1469$ AU with various disc properties. The control case corresponds to Simulation 4. The top left panel gives the change in binary eccentricity as a function of time. The top right panel shows the change in binary semimajor axis vs time. The bottom left panel displays disc eccentricity vs time and the bottom right panel displays the figure legend.

5.2 Implications for Circumbinary Planets

The observed orbital elements of binary stars that host a circumbinary planet are the product of a complex evolutionary history. From Fig. 4 and Fig. 7, we see that for systems similar to the ones considered in this work, appreciable changes can occur on order 10^4 binary orbits.

As shown in Section 4.1, the mass of the disc strongly influences the binary evolution. More massive discs, for example, drive much faster e_{bin} growth and a_{bin} decay. Faster dynamical binary evolution due to massive discs could be particularly relevant for *Kepler* circumbinary planets as the work of Dunhill & Alexander (2013) suggests that these circumbinary planets formed and migrated in massive discs. Additionally, disc-binary interactions can make planet for-

mation more difficult. Simulations by Lines et al. (2016) identified an $m = 1$ spiral wave in the circumbinary disc that corresponds to an alignment of planetesimal longitudes of periastron. This wave, whose origin was explained in this work, caused an increase in erosive planetesimal collisions making in-situ formation difficult in circumbinary protoplanetary discs.

The decay of a_{bin} via disc-binary interactions also causes the inward shift of mean motion and Lindblad resonances. These resonances can significantly impact the orbital stability of local objects in the disc in several important ways. For the restricted three body problem, resonance overlapping can lead to stochastic orbital evolution as shown from the criterion derived by Wisdom (1980). For the case of bi-

nary orbital evolution driven by tides, Bromley & Kenyon (2015) point out that evolving binary eccentricity and semimajor axis changes the location of critical resonances and hence where they overlap, potentially making stable systems unstable over time. The location of mean motion resonances also dictate where circumbinary planets may reside. The numerical integrations of both Popova & Shevchenko (2013) and Chavez et al. (2015) show that many circumbinary planets lie in a stable region shepherded by unstable mean motion resonances. If a_{bin} evolves significantly on short enough timescales, so too do the locations of the resonances, sweeping inward and potentially destabilizing orbits. We note, however, that a_{bin} evolution appears to be a resolution dependent effect which future work should address.

This behavior is of particular importance for studies of planetary migration in circumbinary discs. Studies of circumbinary planetary migration in a viscous, eccentric disc find that planets tend to migrate inwards until they are trapped in or near the 4:1 mean motion resonance in the region of stability identified by Holman & Wiegert (1999) (Nelson & Papaloizou 2003; Kley & Haghighipour 2014). Since the resonances and the region of stability move as binary eccentricity and semimajor axis evolve, the final location and stability of migrating planets in circumbinary discs is sensitive to binary evolution. Simulations of circumbinary systems, especially those using N-body SPH methods like the ones presented in this work, must ensure that they properly account for the disc-binary interactions.

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we showed that unequal mass binary stars embedded in a circumbinary gaseous disc carved out a gap in the disc and caused structural changes within the disc. Resonant interactions with the binary at the 1:3 EOLR excited a $m = 1$ spiral wave within the disc. This wave corresponded to an alignment of gas particle longitude of periastrons that varied with radius. The spiral wave formed within 50 years for discs about sufficiently eccentric binaries but took longer to strengthen for less eccentric binaries (see Fig. 5). Eccentric binary stars became more eccentric and experienced a secular decrease in semimajor axis while initially nearly circular binaries underwent no eccentricity growth over the timescales considered.

Eccentricity growth within the system was understood in the context of the theory of Papaloizou et al. (2001) in which nonlinear coupling between non-zero disc eccentricity and the binary's tidal potential excites an $m = 2$ spiral density wave from the 1:3 EOLR that mediates angular momentum transfer in the system. Nearly circular binaries weakly couple to the external disc and drive the inner disc edge to become very eccentric. Eccentric binaries, however, strongly couple to the disc leading to eccentricity growth for both the disc and binary. The origin of the $m = 1$ wave within the disc is understood as a recoupling of the $m = 2$ spiral density wave with the binary tidal potential.

This model does have limited applicability as disc gap size scales with e_{bin} , so the 1:3 EOLR could fall within the evacuated region removing its effect from the system, potentially slowing down binary evolution. For simulations of

gaseous circumbinary discs, we caution that the disc-binary interaction must be sufficiently accounted for to properly model the system. We leave the characterization of the long-term impact of disc-binary interactions to future work.

Limitations of this work include the difficulty in integrating the binary orbit. Since the binary feels the force of every other SPH particle in our simulations and is integrated using ChaNGa's native leapfrog integrator, very conservative timestepping was employed to ensure that the binary orbit was well-resolved and physically accurate. The conservative timestepping scheme significantly slowed our simulations. In light of this limitation, potential future work could include running a long-term higher resolution simulation over at least 10^5 binary orbits for small yet non-zero e_{bin} in order to better characterize how the disc and binary coevolve. Additional future work could involve examining equal mass binaries or binaries with larger eccentricities than those explored in this work. Since binaries with large eccentricities excite higher order resonances within the disc (e.g. Artymowicz 1992; Lubow & Artymowicz 2000) and carve out gaps that could remove the 1:3 EOLR from the disc (Artymowicz & Lubow 1994), it would be interesting to examine how these other resonances can impact binary evolution. A study on how different numerical viscosity implementations impact binary evolution would also prove fruitful to examine its influence on disc-binary coevolution, specifically binary semimajor axis decay.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for helpful comments and suggestions that improved the quality of the manuscript. We would also like to thank Isaac Backus for a careful reading of the manuscript and Jacob Lustig-Yaeger for helpful feedback. This work was facilitated through the use of advanced computational, storage, and networking infrastructure provided by the Hyak supercomputer system at the University of Washington. We made use of *pynbody* (<https://github.com/pynbody/pynbody>) in our analysis for this paper. This work was performed as part of the NASA Astrobiology Institute's Virtual Planetary Laboratory, supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration through the NASA Astrobiology Institute under solicitation NNA13AA93A. David Fleming is supported by an NSF IGERT DGE-1258485 fellowship. Thomas Quinn is supported by NASA grant NNX15AE18G.

REFERENCES

- Aly, H., Dehnen, W., Nixon, C., & King, A. 2015, MNRAS, 449, 65
- Armitage, P. J., & Natarajan, P. 2005, ApJ, 634, 921
- Artymowicz, P., Clarke, C. J., Lubow, S. H., & Pringle, J. E. 1991, ApJ, 370, L35
- Artymowicz, P. 1992, PASP, 104, 769
- Artymowicz, P., & Lubow, S. H. 1994, ApJ, 421, 651
- Artymowicz, P., & Lubow, S. H. 1996, Disks and Outflows Around Young Stars, 465, 115
- Backus, I., & Quinn, T. 2016, submitted to MNRAS
- Balsara, D. S. 1995, Journal of Computational Physics, 121, 357

- Bromley, B. C., & Kenyon, S. J. 2015, *ApJ*, 806, 98
- Chavez, C. E., Georgakarakos, N., Prodan, S., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 446, 1283
- Cuadra, J., Armitage, P. J., Alexander, R. D., & Begelman, M. C. 2009, *MNRAS*, 393, 1423
- Dermine, T., Izzard, R. G., Jorissen, A., & Van Winckel, H. 2013, *A&A*, 551, A50
- D’Orazio, D. J., Haiman, Z., & MacFadyen, A. 2013, *MNRAS*, 436, 2997
- D’Orazio, D. J., Haiman, Z., Duffell, P., MacFadyen, A., & Farris, B. 2016, *MNRAS*, 459, 2379
- Dunhill, A. C., & Alexander, R. D. 2013, *MNRAS*, 435, 2328
- Dunhill, A. C., Cuadra, J., & Dougados, C. 2015, *MNRAS*, 448, 3545
- Dvorak, R. 1986, *A&A*, 167, 379
- Escala, A., Larson, R. B., Coppi, P. S., & Mardones, D. 2005, *ApJ*, 630, 152
- Farris, B. D., Duffell, P., MacFadyen, A. I., & Haiman, Z. 2014, *ApJ*, 783, 134
- Goldreich, P., & Tremaine, S. 1979, *ApJ*, 233, 857
- Goldreich, P., & Tremaine, S. 1980, *ApJ*, 241, 425
- Haisch, K. E., Jr., Lada, E. A., & Lada, C. J. 2001, *ApJ*, 553, L153
- Holman, M. J., & Wiegert, P. A. 1999, *AJ*, 117, 621
- Jetley, P., Gioachin, F., Mendes, C., Kale, L., Quinn, T. 2008, *Proceedings of IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium 2008*
- Kale, L. V. & Krishnan, Sanjeev 1996, *Parallel Programming using C++*, ed. Gregory V. Wilson and Paul Lu. (MIT Press), 175
- Kley, W., Papaloizou, J. C. B., & Ogilvie, G. I. 2008, *A&A*, 487, 671
- Kley, W., & Haghighipour, N. 2014, *A&A*, 564, A72
- Kley, W., & Haghighipour, N. 2015, *A&A*, 581, A20
- Kostov, V. B., Orosz, J. A., Welsh, W. F., et al. 2015, *arXiv:1512.00189*
- Kozai, Y. 1962, *AJ*, 67, 591
- Leung, G. C. K., & Lee, M. H. 2013, *ApJ*, 763, 107
- Lidov, M. L. 1962, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 9, 719
- Lines, S., Leinhardt, Z. M., Baruteau, C., Paardekoooper, S.-J., & Carter, P. J. 2015, *A&A*, 582, A5
- Lines, S., Leinhardt, Z. M., Baruteau, C., Paardekoooper, S.-J., & Carter, P. J. 2016, *arXiv:1604.01778*
- Lodato, G., & Price, D. J. 2010, *MNRAS*, 405, 1212
- Lubow, S. H. 1991, *ApJ*, 381, 259
- Lubow, S. H., & Artymowicz, P. 1996, *NATO Advanced Science Institutes (ASI) Series C*, 477, 53
- Lubow, S. H., & Artymowicz, P. 2000, *Protostars and Planets IV*, 731
- Lubow, S. H., Martin, R. G., & Nixon, C. 2015, *ApJ*, 800, 96
- MacFadyen, A. I., & Milosavljević, M. 2008, *ApJ*, 672, 83
- Martin, R. G., Nixon, C., Lubow, S. H., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 792, L33
- Mayer, L., Kazantzidis, S., Madau, P., et al. 2007, *Science*, 316, 1874
- Mazeh, T. 2008, *EAS Publications Series*, 29, 1
- Meibom, S., & Mathieu, R. D. 2005, *ApJ*, 620, 970
- Menon, H., Wesolowski, L., Zheng, G., et al. 2015, *Computational Astrophysics and Cosmology*, 2, 1
- Meru, F., & Bate, M. R. 2012, *MNRAS*, 427, 2022
- Monaghan, J. J., & Gingold, R. A. 1983, *Journal of Computational Physics*, 52, 374
- Murray, J. R. 1996, *MNRAS*, 279, 402
- Nelson, R. P., & Papaloizou, J. C. B. 2003, *MNRAS*, 339, 993
- Nixon, C., King, A., & Price, D. 2013, *MNRAS*, 434, 1946
- Orosz, J. A., Welsh, W. F., Carter, J. A., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 758, 87
- Paardekooper, S.-J., Leinhardt, Z. M., Thébault, P., & Baruteau, C. 2012, *ApJ*, 754, L16
- Papaloizou, J. C. B., Nelson, R. P., & Masset, F. 2001, *A&A*, 366, 263
- Pelupessy, F. I., & Portegies Zwart, S. 2013, *MNRAS*, 429, 895
- Pierens, A., & Nelson, R. P. 2007, *A&A*, 472, 993
- Pierens, A., & Nelson, R. P. 2013, *A&A*, 556, A134
- Popova, E. A., & Shevchenko, I. I. 2013, *ApJ*, 769, 152
- Pringle, J. E. 1991, *MNRAS*, 248, 754
- Quinn, T., Katz, N., Stadel, J., & Lake, G. 1997, *arXiv:astro-ph/9710043*
- Raghavan, D., McAlister, H. A., Henry, T. J., et al. 2010, *ApJS*, 190, 1
- Ragusa, E., Lodato, G., & Price, D. J. 2016, *MNRAS*, 460, 1243
- Roškar, R., Fiacconi, D., Mayer, L., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 449, 494
- Richardson, D. C., Quinn, T., Stadel, J., & Lake, G. 2000, *Icarus*, 143, 45
- Roedig, C., Dotti, M., Sesana, A., Cuadra, J., & Colpi, M. 2011, *MNRAS*, 415, 3033
- Roedig, C., Sesana, A., Dotti, M., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 545, A127
- Shi, J.-M., Krolik, J. H., Lubow, S. H., & Hawley, J. F. 2012, *ApJ*, 749, 118
- Silsbee, K., & Rafikov, R. R. 2015, *ApJ*, 808, 58
- Toomre, A. 1964, *ApJ*, 139, 1217
- Wadsley, J. W., Stadel, J., & Quinn, T. 2004, *New Astron.*, 9, 137
- Welsh, W. F., Orosz, J. A., Carter, J. A., & Fabrycky, D. C. 2014, *IAU Symposium*, 293, 125
- Wisdom, J. 1980, *AJ*, 85, 1122
- Young, M. D., & Clarke, C. J. 2015, *MNRAS*, 452, 3085
- Zahn, J.-P., & Bouchet, L. 1989, *A&A*, 223, 112

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.